

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>                            |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_114993  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Isolation, purification of Scopulariopsisfimicola and effect of cropping period on Calocybe indica |
| Type of the Article      | research article   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>The work is interesting as it aims to identify the best conditions for growing Calocybe indica, a species with importance for food due to its content of proteins and phytonutrients. This culture can also value the lignocellulosic wastes from the geographical region of interest.</p> <p>An alternative title: <b>The influence of cultivation conditions on Calocybe indica culture and identification of associated microflora</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The bibliography should be updated to include more recent works. The most recent reference is from 2010.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes, with some minor correction which are mentioned in the attached manuscript.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Some corrections were suggested in the attached text.<br/>in some situations the data mentioned in the text are not the same as those in the tables<br/>The units of measurement for different values are sometimes confusing<br/>It would be useful to present images that highlight the types of microorganisms present in the microflora.</p>  |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Popescu Sorina</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Life Sciences "King Michael I", Romania</b> |