

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_113436
Title of the Manuscript:	Food Consumption, purchasing and dietary pattern of farm women in Dharwad District, Karnataka State
Type of the Article	Prospective Cross sectional observational

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The idea of manuscript is good, keeping in view poor nutritional status of Indian population and the factors behind it like ignorance, poverty, food taboos, religious issues especially in women who are given less importance than the male members of family.</p> <p>Title should be more catchy like "Poor dietary habits of rural women in India"</p> <p>No, the abstract needs to be rewritten with clearly chalked objectives, study design, sample size and keywords should also be mentioned in the end.</p> <p>No, the introduction is written as a piece of English literature, doesn't seem to be scientific research document, the rationale is not highlighted. The objective is not written properly. Study design, inclusion and exclusion criteria is not given.</p> <p>The manuscript is not written in the form of an original research paper with proper justification and scientific proof.</p> <p>References are around 15-20 years old and not written in proper style and even not mentioned in text properly.</p> <p>The calories of food purchased, cooked and eaten cannot be calculated precisely especially the vitamins and minerals, how was it done should be mentioned in detail</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, there are a lot of grammatical mistakes in the text. The text seems to be a piece of English literature rather a scholarly communication. Can be used as editorial but not as original article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amber Kamran
Department, University & Country	Dow Medical College, Dow University of Health Sciences, Pakistan