

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_114758
Title of the Manuscript:	Monoculture and Mixed Culture of Pacific White Shrimp <i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i> and Tiger Shrimp <i>Penaeus monodon</i> in Biofloc System – A Comparative Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes! The manuscript is important for scientific community considering the fact that shrimps are a great source of animal proteins when consumed by man which help in building the body and repair of worn-out tissues.</p> <p>The title of the article is very suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Though, there are certain observations and corrections made which should be effected to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes! The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes! The references are sufficient and recent. However, the author(s) were advised to follow the Journal's approved referencing style.</p> <p>The "Results and Discussion" section should be improved by summarizing the results and discussing the major findings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes! The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript should be published immediately after incorporating all the suggestions and corrections by the author(s).</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yusuf Muhammad Sanyinna
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Army University Biu, Nigeria