

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_115150
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the influence of RMB exchange rate fluctuation on import and export trade of Jiangsu province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is important as it shows how exchange rate volatility affects trade trends between any economy and its partners;</p> <p>2. The title is relevant;</p> <p>3. The abstract lacks some elements: kindly specify the methodology used (GARCH model) in the data analysis, period covered by your study and include in your abstracts the recommendations (in short);</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate?: kindly try to combine subsections 2.1 and 2.3: what is important in your literature review is to clearly specify theories that explain the relationship between your variables and also show past studies done by others researchers (which seems to be into section 2.3). so combine the two and try to be systematic.</p> <p>Also, subsections of part 3 are not well organized. Kindly put subsections by following the steps of analysing data with GARCH model (its starts with what (unit root or?) and ends with which subsection? Otherwise your findings are okay.</p> <p>5. YES, The manuscript is scientifically correct;</p> <p>6. For me, the references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The suggestion part (5.2) should be very straight. Be specific when recommending.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ntirabampa Desire
Department, University & Country	Burundi