

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_115150
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the influence of RMB exchange rate fluctuation on import and export trade of Jiangsu province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, this manuscript is important to the scientific community because it explores the impact of RMB exchange rate variations on foreign trade in Jiangsu Province, providing valuable insights into the economic consequences of globalization and currency fluctuations. Yes, the title is appropriate because it summarizes the content and scope of the study well. An alternative title could be: "Impact of RMB exchange rate changes on Jiangsu's foreign trade." Yes, the article abstract appears comprehensive, covering the study's background, purpose, methodology, and main findings. Yes Yes YES, the references are recent and sufficient. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The quality of English language appears suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear and technical, which is essential for academic communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahdi Mnasri
Department, University & Country	University of Sfax, Tunisia, Sorbonne Nouvelle university, France