

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114823
Title of the Manuscript:	Empowering Women: E-Shakti's Impact on Self-Help Groups Digitization and Financial Inclusion in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, present manuscript is important for scientific community, because it reveals the influence of Public Scheme (Financial) on group of marginal factors (SHGs) and vulnerable group (women). - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Hypothesis testing part is missing, it would increase the statistical quality of the research. - Recommendations and suggestions are given in paragraph form. It would be better if suggestions and recommendations could be given number sequence as one by one. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, it is good and suitable for publication like present Journal. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Present research study depend only on secondary data, it would be better if it researchers could include primary data sources also. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil W. Gosavi
Department, University & Country	Smt. K. G. Mittal College of Arts and Commerce, India