

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114823
Title of the Manuscript:	Empowering Women: E-Shakti's Impact on Self-Help Groups Digitization and Financial Inclusion in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive review of the literature on women empowerment through microfinance, with a focus on self-help groups and digital platforms like E-Shakti. It synthesizes research findings and discusses the implications for policy and practice in the context of India's socio-economic development.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus of the manuscript on women empowerment through microfinance and the role of digital platforms like E-Shakti. No alternative title suggestions are needed.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, providing a clear summary of the key topics covered in the manuscript, including the significance of microfinance, the role of self-help groups, the impact of digitalization, and the implications for women's empowerment in India.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, providing a logical flow of information from introduction to conclusion. Each section addresses specific aspects of the topic, making it easy for readers to follow and understand the content.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, drawing on a wide range of scholarly sources and research findings to support its arguments and conclusions. However, ensuring accuracy in data interpretation and analysis is crucial, so thorough review and verification of all information are recommended.</p> <p>6. The references provided are sufficient and recent, covering a diverse range of scholarly literature on microfinance, self-help groups, and digital empowerment in India. However, additional recent references on specific topics or emerging trends could further enhance the depth and relevance of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. However, minor grammatical or stylistic improvements may be necessary for clarity and readability. Proofreading the manuscript for any errors or inconsistencies is recommended before final submission.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the complex relationship between microfinance, self-help groups, digital empowerment, and women's empowerment in India. It offers important implications for policy, practice, and future research in this field. However, ensuring clarity, coherence, and accuracy in presentation is essential for maximizing the impact of the manuscript on the scientific community.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Mehedi Hasan Emon
Department, University & Country	American International University, Bangladesh