

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_115208
Title of the Manuscript:	Penile Tourniquet syndrome by hair in children: case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Topic is interesting and study properly performed. However, there are a few critical aspects that Authors should review in order to improve the overall quality of manuscript. 2. The title is suitable of the article. 3. The abstract provided a clear and concise summary of the study, including the purpose, methods, results, and conclusion. 4. In the introduction section, providing more detailed information about the purpose of the article could be important for benefiting future management of such cases. In the case presentation, it would be beneficial to report the source of the surgical technique and compare it with other techniques if available. 5. This case presentation demonstrates scientific appropriateness. 6. The references are relevant and up-to-date, supporting the manuscript's content well. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language of the article can be improved and written in a more understandable manner. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The management of this rare case is appropriately written in terms of overall content and will shed light on future studies to be conducted.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasan Güngör
Department, University & Country	Gaziantep City Hospital, Turkey