

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113313
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on understanding the perception of farmers regarding effectiveness of agriculture related TV programs for the dissemination of agricultural information in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for effective guideline on extension information delivery to the farmers. It has brought out key concept detailing information communication.</p> <p>The title is just fine</p> <p>It is fairly comprehensive but please highlight on the importance of this study to the farming community. Also highlight on the sample size you considered for collecting data.</p> <p>There is need to structure it clearly and include Discussion, Results and statistical data presentation should be included not just description of results as seen.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>They are sufficient and they are from sources covering a range of time and in various regions where this technology of dissemination has been utilized.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is fine but just requires some bit of refinement in grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is a good tool for extension workers and will enhance farmer performance.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Royd C. M Mukonda
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Zambia