

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110273
Title of the Manuscript:	Export competitiveness of Non- Basmati Rice in Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes: The global agri-economic landscape is continuously evolving, with the rice trade sector playing a pivotal role in shaping international food markets. While Basmati Rice has long been a focus of scholarly attention, the export competitiveness of Non-Basmati Rice remains an underexplored domain. Thus, the current study will definitely add value to scientific knowledge</p> <p>The title is suitable. However, there is absence of its motivation. For example the author could say that "The motivation behind investigating the export competitiveness of Non-Basmati Rice is rooted in its significant role within the global agri-economic framework. While Basmati Rice has garnered considerable attention in research and policy discussions, Non-Basmati Rice, despite its widespread production and consumption, remains somewhat overshadowed. The motivation for this study stems from the need to rectify this imbalance in scholarly attention and provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing the export dynamics of Non-Basmati Rice" or "The economic implications of Non-Basmati Rice are substantial, with numerous countries relying on its export as a crucial source of revenue. Understanding the determinants of its competitiveness in the global market is not only vital for the economic prosperity of these regions but is also integral to the broader discourse on international food trade and agricultural sustainability."</p> <p>No, the author should remember, an abstract serves as a concise summary of the entire article, providing readers with a quick overview of the study's purpose, methods, results, and conclusions. It should be written in a way that allows readers to quickly assess the relevance and significance of the research. Please re-do this part to capture this elements as this is not very clear going through your work</p> <p>No, the subsection should be redone to capture: Introduction/Background, Problem statement, objectives, Literature review, significance, methodology and result</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. Just need to be improved</p> <p>Referencing is poor with references provided but no citations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Some minor typos such as no full stops, unclear sentences. For example, in the abstract, the authors wrote "The present study is to analysed the export competitiveness..." implying that "The present study is to analyse the export competitiveness..." and continues in the same sentence to write "...in Chhattisgarh based on secondary data The secondary data required to achieve..." I guess there should be a full stop between the word "data" and "The secondary..."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erick Maiko Akama
Department, University & Country	Multimedia University, Kenya