

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110273
Title of the Manuscript:	Export competitiveness of Non- Basmati Rice in Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript addresses the export competitiveness of non-basmati rice in Chhattisgarh, providing insights into the state's position in the global market. While the importance is evident, the authors could enhance this aspect by clearly stating the potential implications of their findings on Chhattisgarh's economy and suggesting actionable recommendations for improvement. The title effectively conveys the subject matter, but consider adding a more specific descriptor to make it even more informative. For example, "Export Competitiveness Analysis of Non-Basmati Rice in Chhattisgarh: A Comparative Study." The abstract provides a concise summary, but it lacks specific findings. Include key results in the abstract to give readers a quick overview of the study's outcomes. The subsections and overall structure are well-defined. However, consider adding a separate section for recommendations based on the study's findings. The manuscript appears scientifically sound. Ensure that data sources and analytical methods are thoroughly explained to enhance transparency and reproducibility. The references seem adequate, but consider including more recent sources, especially in the introduction to reflect the latest developments in agricultural economics and international trade. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language quality is generally good. However, there are instances where sentence structure can be refined for better clarity. For example, in the results section, ensure that the meaning is clear when discussing the NPC values and their implications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider expanding the discussion on the factors influencing Chhattisgarh's export competitiveness, such as the quality standards, cold chain infrastructure, and variety resistance mentioned in the results section. Providing more details on these aspects will enrich the manuscript. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Addisalem Tadesse Bogale
Department, University & Country	Jimma University, Ambo University, Ethiopia