

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110062
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Educational Equity on the Quality of Education in Selected Secondary Schools in Kwimba District
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Comment:</b></p> <p>Overall, the article is good, both in content and structure as a scientific article. However, I give some notes to be considered by the author:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) In general, in an article, tables are placed after a review and usually followed by an introductory sentence (for example: "as shown in Table 1, etc.). It is not the opposite to show the table first, then the author comments on the content of the table.</li> <li>2. There should be consistency. If the results in a particular section include the date of the interview (e.g. on page 7), then all other sections (e.g. page 6) should also include when the interview took place.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Recommendation: Accept subject to minor revisions</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hendriko Dasriminocarm</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</b>