

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_114168
Title of the Manuscript:	The Interplay of Historical foundations, Resource Dynamics, and Ideological Frameworks in the Shaping of Tanzania's Foreign Policy Landscape: Practicability of the Dependency theory
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. I consider that this manuscript contains solid scientific studies, providing the latest information on the development of science, especially on the historical foundations, Resource Dynamics, and Ideological frameworks.</p> <p>2. I think the title is quite interesting.</p> <p>3. Sure, the abstract effectively summarizes the key components of the article, providing valuable guidance for decision-makers involved in the complex process of developing foreign policy.</p> <p>4. We believe the writing structure is good enough. Please also pay attention to the publisher's guidelines.</p> <p>5. Yes of course. This includes certain scientific studies within the scope of science that I wrote about in point 1.</p> <p>6. Regarding the number of references cited, it is sufficient. Next, please pay attention to the rules for writing a bibliography, according to the guidelines issued by the publisher of this journal!</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>We just suggest checking again grammatically to make it even better.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Angkasa Putra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pukyong National University, South Korea</b>