

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_113842</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STUDIES ON THE INTERACTION EFFECT OF PRUNING METHODS AND TRAINING SYSTEMS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CUCUMBER (CUCUMIS SATIVUS L.) CV. MALINI GROWN UNDER PROTECTED CONDITIONS.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It is my considered view that this has considerable relevance for implementation.</p> <p>2. Yes, there no problem with the title for manuscript</p> <p>3. I think the writing of the abstract is good enough, but I suggest some changes in the sentence structure to make it easier for laypeople to read. There is also a method in the abstract that is not written in the sub-methods section</p> <p>4. The structure of the abstract is good and coherent, but there are statements in the abstract that are not present in the manuscript. For example, the abstract states that the data were analyzed using ANOVA and LSD, but these are not mentioned in the methods section.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think so</p> <p>6. I think there is no problem with references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. I don't think so. The language used is too complicated for ordinary people to understand, and the language structure is not simple enough.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmad Saifun Naser</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia</b>