

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_114279
Title of the Manuscript:	Towards an efficient tariff system in the electricity distribution: Evidence from Uganda
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes the manuscript reflects sound for scientific community and covers all aspects regarding to scientists interests.</p> <p>Title seems general rephrase the title (it should be specific and reflects the contribution)</p> <p>Abstract is well written. Just need one more sentence that why chosen method is important</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to add few more recent references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English Language need to be proofread from native English speaker not seems sound in terms of English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. What is the main question addressed by the research?</p> <p>2. Do you consider the topic original or relevant in the field? Does it address a specific gap in the field?</p> <p>3. What does it add to the subject area compared with other published material?</p> <p>4. What specific improvements should the authors consider regarding the methodology? What further controls should be considered?</p> <p>5. Are the conclusions consistent with the evidence and arguments presented and do they address the main question posed?</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raja Masood Larik
Department, University & Country	NED UET, Pakistan