

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_114744
Title of the Manuscript:	Gene Therapies in the Fight Against Liver Disease: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Needs correction, add introduction, methodology, result and conclusion.</p> <p>Author has not described the mechanism of viral vectors as a carrier in gene therapy diagrammatically. The entire manuscript seems stretched. Keep it simple and informative.</p> <p>Manuscript is not prepared as per the author guidelines. Manuscript is not justified, and author guideline clearly states that double spacing should be followed which is not done. Reduce the manuscript length to 9000 words including references as per the author guidelines. Methodology, result, and discussion sections are missing. There is no need to add expert opinion section instead author can describe his point of view under the heading future directions. Conclusion must contain the brief detail regarding the ethical issues and biocompatibility issues and personalized medicines.</p> <p>Referencing style as per the author guidelines are not followed. Keep the reference in Vancouver style, add more references for the discussion part.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammer mistakes are observed in entire manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramdas Bhat
Department, University & Country	Srinivas College of Pharmacy, India