

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_114723</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis : rare interstitial lung disease (about two siblings cases)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes it is important to the scientific community, as it's a rare and a new condition hence more work is required to for better assessment and treatment of this condition.</b></p> <p><b>The title should be- PLEUROPARENCHYMAL FIBROELASTOSIS- A Rare Interstitial Lung Disease.</b></p> <p><b>Yes it is comprehensive.</b></p> <p><b>Yes subsections and structure are appropriate.</b></p> <p><b>Yes it is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>References could have been more in number also the link of past studies. After 1975 there is 1992 ref. and then 2009-10 onwards. So the gap is huge and after 2019 in the past 5 years there should have been at least on reference.</b></p> <p><b>References have come the middle of the article. They should be at the end of the article.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are mistakes with English language. 1<sup>st</sup> page in the sub title Introduction second line spelling. Correct spelling is which. On page 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> para (8<sup>th</sup> line) correct spelling is observed. On the 4<sup>th</sup> page 4<sup>th</sup> para (1<sup>st</sup> line) correct spelling is labelling. On the page 5 first para 3<sup>rd</sup> line, correct language is Nowadays, there. Same page, same para 9<sup>th</sup> line correct spelling is idiopathic.</p> <p>Hence I suggest above corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Navdeep Singh Johar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SAIMS, College of Dentistry, India</b>