

ReviewForm 1.7

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| JournalName: | JournalofAdvancesin MathematicsandComputerScience |
| ManuscriptNumber: | Ms_JAMCS_115092 |
| TitleoftheManuscript: | AI-DrivenReal-TimeQualityMonitoringandProcessOptimizationforEnhancedManufacturingPerformance |
| Typeofthe Article | OriginalResearchArticle |

ReviewForm 1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>This manuscript has comparison of few case studies on Manufacturing industries stating with Optimization techniques. Stating with Industrial 5.0 with domain function of Machine learning process.</p> <p>Title is suitable for recent trends. But it shows survey paper and studies</p> <p>ORIGINALITY: (Need to elaborate as well as describe unique results in abstract section (min 200 words))</p> <p>There are 5 section and few sub sections is not an research value paper instead its focused on survey paper. Scientifically proven paper will contain the Research comparison of previous published data.</p> <p>Yes, Author have used the latest references for their survey,</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Result analysis and discussion (must describe comparative study & exact finding explanation), 2. Relevance and clarity of figures, graphs, and tables (maintain good quality) 3. Conclusion of the paper (to be summarized better, highlight the study limitations and future scope) 4. Article should be unique of content maintained, ie Abstract, Introduction, materials & methods, Results & discussion, Conclusion with Neat as per Journal Template <p>Check the spelling mistakes & grammatical errors.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | M. Arvindhan |
| Department, University & Country | Galgotias University, India |