

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114522
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ADVANCE GENERATION BREEDING LINES OF BITTER GOURD FOR YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it looks like a significant work.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>-The abstract could have been better if the author could signify the each result with parameter</p> <p>-Material and methods used should be specified under separate sub headings. -Separate tables could be used for qualitative and quantitative characters.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>- No recent references are cited in entire article. The most recent one was from 2020.</p> <p>- Specify the value along with parameters like this even in the extract as well. - Number of fruits per plant (33.56), fruit length (14.23 cm), fruit weight (61.18 g), total soluble sugar (9.29 g/100g), non-reducing sugar (7.25 g/100g) and momordicine content (50.21 mg/100g). Moreover, the number of fruits per plant cannot be a fractional number rather it could be real number or if it is in fraction author could have written average number.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-The first letter of the family name should be capital. - Royal Horticultural Society (U.K.) recommends that family names be italicized.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Digvijay
Department, University & Country	School of Applied and Life Sciences, Uttarakhand University, India