

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_114446</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Multidrug Resistance Pattern of Bacteria Isolated from Car and Office Door Handles</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. Multi drug resistance is one of the top public health threats. Nowadays many micro-organisms develop resistance and is almost common in most of the countries. There is an inadequate research in this field and there is also an urgent need for development of new vaccines and drugs.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It is Clear and Concise.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the research. The abstract is easy to read.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript easy to follow. The subsections are informative.</p> <p>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The methodology and results are presented in a clear and concise manner.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Overall, I think the manuscript is well-written. It is likely to be of interest to a wide range of researchers</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>B. Kanimozhi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. NGP Arts and Science College, India</b>