

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_113501
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Status and its Predictors of Rural Women in Dharwad Taluk, Karnataka
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is quite relevant for the scientific community, and I would like to appreciate the authors for the efforts made towards putting this research paper together.</p> <p>2. No, the title of the article isn't as insightful and clear enough as it should be. I would recommend; An Assessment of the nutritional Status and its Predictors amongst women in a rural community of Dharwad Taluk, Karnataka, India.</p> <p>3. Yes the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. It would be better to separate the results and the discussion into two separate parts, in order to allow for a more robust discussion and literature review.</p> <p>5. Yes it is scientifically correct, however the authors should kindly include how they calculated their sample size under the methodology in order to allow for reproducibility of similar studies.</p> <p>7. The authors used an In-text referencing style. Please kindly review the referencing style required of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is. However, I would recommend that the authors do a more detailed grammatical review in order to make Minor corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is a good paper and a fair attempt by the authors to contribute towards scientific knowledge.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawal Abdulwahab
Department, University & Country	University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Nigeria