

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_114390
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of effect of DTT treatment and heat inactivation on ABO isoagglutinin titers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Screening and detection of anti-erythrocyte alloimmune antibodies in recipients is necessary during transfusion of blood components and is an important element of testing before blood transfusion. The results obtained will help identify patients at risk of developing a post-immune immune response and will reduce the likelihood of transfusion reactions and complications.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. To obtain the results, a large amount of initial data was used, which was statistically processed. The results are beyond doubt</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. In my opinion, references are relevant.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	There are questions for the researcher that may need to be reflected in the article: Was the Rh factor of the patients taken into account? Were blood group 4 (AB) serum included in the study?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Generalov Sergei Viacheslavovich
Department, University & Country	Federal State Scientific Institution "Russian Research Anti Plague Institute, Russia