

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_114461
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on an Analysis of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Practice: A case of Lahan Municipality, Madhesh Province, Nepal
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is about the study on an Analysis of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Practice: A case of Lahan Municipality, Madhesh Province, Nepal This review paper assesses the water, sanitation and hygiene practices in Lahan Municipality, Siraha district. There is a valuable source of general information about Water sanitation, hygiene, Gender Equality and Social aspects</p> <p>1. The manuscript is relevant for the scientific community. 2. The title of the article is suitable 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive but need to be more explicit (methodologies and conclusion are not well define). 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. The manuscript is not scientifically correct 6. The references are not sufficient and not recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The methodology following in the study is not well described in the manuscript. The author should explain the methodology (data, materials and methods used). The main objective is not defined in the paper. The number of samples used is missed. The same reference is written at the beginning and the end of the sentence like Thapa (2018).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oumou Diancoumba
Department, University & Country	Ecole Normale Supérieure de Bamako, Mali