

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_114712
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Characterization Of Plasmid-Mediated Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Resistance In Urinary Escherichia Coli From Patients In General Hospital, Maitama, Abuja, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but give some background and focus ESBL in conclusion and keyword .</p> <p>Yes however needs minor revision. Study description, screening of ESBL need to add.</p> <p>Yes but needs minor revision</p> <p>Yes but for MDR please add the latest reference.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Revision needed,</p> <p><b>In Table 2</b> :male and female % how ,</p> <p><b>In Table 3</b>: &gt;50 yrs % correct the value and total % how ,</p> <p><b>In Table 4</b>: keep title only resistance as no result of sensitivity and correct % also,</p> <p><b>In heading 3.4</b> : bar ? mean and mention as according to someone et al,</p> <p><b>In Table 5</b>: ? GHM mean and n- 30 but only 29 in totalling,</p> <p><b>In 3.5 Mol detection</b>: give detailed information how and how many PCR done , 6/30 here but in conclusion 6/26 ? mean,</p> <p><b>In 3.3 Antimicrobial</b> give guidelines, Add one section of phenotype Screening and confirmation,</p> <p><b>In Table 1 and 2.2 DNA extraction</b> : mention as person et al , not as 13, 14, 14, 12,</p> <p><b>In plate</b>: add TEM plate,</p> <p><b>In Discussion</b> revise as results title with more papers,</p> <p><b>In conclusion</b> focus more on title of research of ESBL .</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ram Krishna Shrestha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pokhara University, Nepal</b>