

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114506
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOACTIVE FEED INGREDIENTS USED IN AQUACULTURE: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Manuscript with the title BIOACTIVE FEED INGREDIENTS USED IN AQUACULTURE: A REVIEW, is very important in the repertoire of science including in aquaculture. The information presented in this manuscript is very important and relevant to the current world condition, which requires feed to produce good quality food. I am sure, with this manuscript, problems in aquaculture can be minimized.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>The abstract from this manuscript very comprehensive.</li> <li>Yes, I have read this manuscript; I found there are 5 sub-bab plus a conclusion. The five sub-chapters are well-explained and easy to understand, providing significant benefits to readers, especially those in the same field as the content of this manuscript.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes, the author has written with reference to the latest sources, and all cited references are listed in the reference list. I only found many errors in the referencing; please check and correct them.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, the English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication; however, I have read that there are quite a few errors in the writing that need to be corrected before publication. Please review and make the necessary corrections.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is worthy of publication.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sadarman S Pt</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau, Indonesia</b>