

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_115107
Title of the Manuscript:	The current state of hydrogen-enriched engine development
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>This article serves as a valuable resource for anyone interested in learning about hydrogen-blended engines and their potential for a cleaner energy in future. It provides a solid foundation for further exploration of this promising technology. One notable strength of the article is its thorough examination of different hydrogen-blended engine types, offering insights into their combustion characteristics, performance improvements, and emissions reductions. The inclusion of specific research findings, experimental methodologies, and results enhances the credibility and depth of the discussion.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some sentences are overly complex or repetitive, which may detract from the overall readability of the article.</p>	
<p>General comments</p>	<p>There are a few areas where the article could be improved.</p> <p>While the article provides a thorough overview of research findings, it lacks critical analysis and discussion on potential limitations, gaps in research, and areas for future exploration. Including such insights would add depth to the article and help readers understand the broader implications of the research discussed.</p> <p>Including visuals like diagrams or graphs could enhance understanding of the combustion processes involved.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio Joseph V K
Department, University & Country	Universal Engineering College, India