

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_114347</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Risks Management on the Financial Performance of Listed Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Some references are not listed in the reference list (references must be synchronized with the reference list), for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Asima et al., 2021 p. 1</li> <li>- Ahmed et al. (2021) p. 1</li> <li>- Wajid et al. (2022) p. 1</li> <li>- Din et al., 2020 p. 2</li> </ul> <p>On Page 11: "However, it is contrary to the findings of the studies such as Asima et al. (2021) and Sathyamoorthi et al. (2020) that documented negative relationship between the proxies of risk management and financial performance." It would be better if there was a more in-depth explanation of why this happened.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hadi Sutrisno</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Darul 'Ulum University, Indonesia</b>