

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_114469
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL CONSISTENCY IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF SKIN DISORDERS IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES, Overall, the manuscript makes a valuable contribution to the understanding of clinicopathological correlation in dermatology practice.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Here are some constructive comments and critical appraisals of the manuscript:</p> <p>Strengths:</p> <p>The study addresses an important issue in dermatology, focusing on the consistency between clinical diagnoses and histopathological findings, which is essential for accurate patient management.</p> <p>The methodology section provides a clear description of the study design, including the inclusion criteria, data collection process, and diagnostic classification, which enhances the transparency and reproducibility of the study.</p> <p>The discussion section effectively interprets the study findings in the context of existing literature, highlighting similarities and differences with previous studies, and providing plausible explanations for observed discrepancies.</p> <p>Areas for Improvement:</p> <p>The introduction could be strengthened by providing more background information on the prevalence and impact of dermatological disorders in Nigeria and Africa. Additionally, including a brief overview of existing literature on clinicopathological correlation in dermatology would provide context for the study.</p> <p>Study methodology, there is limited information on the sample size calculation, which is essential for ensuring the study's statistical power and generalizability of findings. Providing justification for the sample size would enhance the methodological robustness of the study.</p> <p>In the discussion section, the authors could further explore potential factors contributing to the</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>observed discrepancies between clinical and histopathological diagnoses, such as the impact of patient demographics, disease severity, and healthcare infrastructure. Additionally, discussing the implications of the findings for clinical practice and future research would add depth to the discussion.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>Consider revising the introduction to provide a comprehensive overview of the importance of clinicopathological correlation in dermatology and the specific challenges faced in Nigeria and Africa.</p> <p>Ensure transparency in reporting by providing detailed information on sample size calculation and addressing any potential sources of bias or limitations in the study design.</p> <p>Expand the discussion to explore potential factors influencing the consistency of clinical and histopathological diagnoses and discuss the implications of the findings for clinical practice and future research.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yaman Kassab
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmacy, National University of Science and Technology, Oman