

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114560
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical Changes Play a Significant Role in Development of Fungal Disease Resistance in Mustard
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is scientifically good as the crop is significantly important and diseases are more prevalent and also this study is used for future research on the diseases and crop.</li> <li>2. Please try to make title easily accessible and attractive. "Biochemical Changes: Their Potential Role against Fungal Disease Resistance Development in Mustard"</li> <li>3. Abstract is fine, but a little bit spelling correction should be done and better to give key words in alphabetical order</li> <li>4. Maintain uniformity all over the manuscript as somewhere you have mentioned abbreviate forms somewhere full forms</li> <li>5. References should be of various authors as most of the citations from repeated authors</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes, it was good	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ampolu Santosh Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Postgraduate, CAU, India</b>