

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114524
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) genotypes for yield and its attributes traits under Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive .</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient and 55.6% of them are recent but one reference is very old.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Language/ English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Optional/ General comments:</p> <p>1. In the abstract, the word shows must be changed to showed and the word is must be changed to was.</p> <p>2. In the 12th line in the introduction, the word and which mentioned after P must be deleted.</p> <p>3. In the last line of materials and methods, the word Institute must be written Institutes.</p> <p>4. The abbreviations must be written completely when mentioned in the first time.</p> <p>5. In the results and discussion, the word is must be changed to was and the word are must be changed to were.</p> <p>6. In the first line of 3.8 20 Bulb weight, the word table must be changed to Table 3..</p> <p>7. In the fourth reference which mentioned in the references list, the Family name (Biswajit) of the third author must be abbreviated to B.</p> <p>8. Singh and Gupta (2016) which mentioned in the text must be changed to Singh <i>et al</i> (2016).</p> <p>9. The results and discussion are insufficient.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukhtar Abdel Aziz Mohamed Osman
Department, University & Country	University of Dongola, Sudan