

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_114430
Title of the Manuscript:	Wood Degrading Enzymes and their Decay Strength: a review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. This research holds importance because it tackles a problem with significant economic and ecological consequences. By understanding the mechanisms of wood decay, researchers can develop strategies to protect this valuable resource and safeguard the health of our ecosystems.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>Comments#</p> <p>1. It is better to give a clear message about your phylogenetic tree. Is it for wood-degrading enzymes or bacteria?</p> <p>2. The tool and methods that were used to generate the phylogenetic tree were not mentioned.</p> <p>3. It would be better if you gave a supplementary file with the accession numbers of your enzymes or microbes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in the article could benefit from further editing and refinement to meet the standards of scholarly publications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Saddam Hossain
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Biotechnology, Bangladesh