



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. First with respect to reviewer opinion the paper needs many works to be presented as a scientific and acceptable research paper. 2. In abstract section: it should be divided into background, aim, material and methods briefly followed by results with only significant ones and finally the conclusion. 3. The proposal title could be "<i>Anti-hyperlipidaemic effect of Moringa oleifera ethanol extract on high fat diet fed rat</i>". 4. The number of approvals of ethic committee not mentioned in both the original and revised manuscript. 5. Experimental design is not well explained for example the role of Atorvastatin. 6. What is the role of kidney function test as creatinine and urea? 7. The results did not interpret well, the author mentioned only their results without any explanation how the extract did decrease the lipid profile biomarkers. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 We made necessary corrections 2 Abstract has been divided into several section 3 Title Modified 4 Ethical Committee approval number has been added 5 It has been modified 6 Role of Kidney function test has been added 7 Interpretation modified