

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJBCRR_114018  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutritive Compounds of Traditional Rainfed Rice ( <i>Oryza glaberrima</i> ) Varieties from Goh-Djiboua and Mountains Districts in Côte d'Ivoire |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights Nutritive Compounds of Traditional Rainfed Rice (<i>Oryza glaberrima</i>) Varieties from Goh-Djiboua and Mountains Districts in Côte d'Ivoire</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable and concise.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is divided into appropriate subsections.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Paper language is suitable and good. The parts highlighted in red in the paper need to be reframed so that they are more coherent. I have also suggested some grammatical corrections in color. After corrected approved for publication.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Red color mark's few corrections required before publication of the paper</p>   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Kamal Prasad</b>                                    |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Center for Advanced Agriculture Research, India</b> |