

[Review Form1.7](#)

JournalName:	<a href="#">EuropeanJournalofNutrition&amp;FoodSafety</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_EJNFS_114027
TitleoftheManuscript:	FeedingTomorrow: AJourneyinto SustainableNutritionforPersonalandPlanetaryHealth
TypeoftheArticle	ReviewArticle

**Review Form1.7**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1-The article has potential and brings up a subject of great scientific and practical relevance. 2- Yes.</p> <p>3- Yes.</p> <p>4- Yes.</p> <p>5- Introduction: -It seems to me that only at the present time is healthy eating important. Is this a reality? I don't believe it is, but only nowadays do we realize it is mandatory and urgent. Make clear/justify the statement. -I call for reflection on whether population growth is the real reason for unsustainable food production or if there are other factors. The problem lies in population growth or changes in food production practices and systems. Explore other issues, such as: Excessive and unnecessary consumption of products of animal origin (intensive breeding of animals for consumption), intensive marketing/media for the consumption of industrialized and ultra-processed products, encouraging the consumption of practical and packaged products to the detriment of home cooking, use of indiscriminate use of pesticides, pesticides and fertilizers, deforestation for the production of grain for animal feed, devaluation of rural food/family farming..... Consider also the poor distribution of resources with enormous waste of food that still occurs in parallel with the lack; also deforestation x planting; the increase in the population of livestock for human consumption (which requires greater food and water consumption when compared to human consumption). The text brings up the subject but in the introduction the focus was only on population increase, mentioned twice.</p> <p>Method: - - Make the methodology used clear. What type of review, selection/eligibility criteria for articles, search flow</p> <p>6- References (Inadequate): - Check and correct all references. - - Standardize the writing standard for references according to the journal's instructions and publications - There are too many references - even though it is a review, the excessive number is unnecessary - Has duplicate references - Check year of publication: Some citations have different dates in the text and references - Exclude unnecessary references: duplicate references, those that are not cited in the text and those that are very old and have more recent references (or justify the use of old references, even if they are recurrent - is this related to the methodology?). - Assess the need to keep/delete: References cited only once and in a context in which there are many other references already cited. - When referring to the effects of food on health and the environment, reference more recent studies to support the argument. Cite more works, not just point them out in references. Delete Cited only once and in citation with other references and is very old</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</b></li> </ol>		

**Review Form1.7**

communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript addresses a subject of great scientific relevance and describes it comprehensively. The Introduction needs to include hidden relevant factors. The objective and methodology need to be clear in the text. References are inadequately described and need to be completely revised and rewritten.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Luana da Silva Baptista Arpini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nossa Senhora da Glória Children's State Hospital, Brazil</b>