

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_114111
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON CHEMICAL CHANGES IN BURFI ENRICHED WITH DIFFERENT HERBS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript provides significant knowledge to researchers. The importance of milk sweet in community is explained in this manuscript. 2. Suitable 3. Yes 4. Needs improvement. Suggestions are incorporated in comments. 5. Need corrections. See comments. 6. The old references should be changed via new ones. 7. Additional comments are attached in word file. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English needs more improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Check the comments in the manuscript file.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include future importance of study at the end in abstract. 2. No compared data provided in abstract which define the importance of study. 3. Add a paragraph in the introduction section where herbs are used in milk sweets with proper citation. 4. Materials and methods are not properly explained. 5. Materials and methods should have regularity along with appropriate explanation of performed tasks. 6. Author should be aware of using abbreviation appropriately. 7. In results, the pros and cons of barfi made from dairy as well as powder milk should also be discussed. 8. Author did not discuss the change in colour and taste after the addition of herbs. 9. Results of different chemicals should be better explained via graph. 10. The BIS specification for lactose content; what is BIS? 11. What is the role of total solids in barfi formation? 12. Improve conclusion by incorporating sentences about the enhancement of barfi product. 13. References lack uniformity. All the references should thoroughly be checked. 14. Zoological and botanical names should be in italics. Improve them particularly in references. 15. Read thoroughly whole manuscript and improve English. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Baqi
Department, University & Country	University of Balochistan, Pakistan