

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_114824
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Efficiency of Banana Production in Uttara Kannada district of Karnataka
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the economic efficiency of banana production, which is a significant agricultural sector with global importance.</p> <p>2. The title is specific and informative, which helps readers understand the topic of the research.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, However, it could be improved by providing a more concise summary of the findings to make it easier for readers to grasp the main points quickly.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate.</p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. Another suggestion for improvement is to include more recent references to relevant studies in the field of banana production efficiency. This could help strengthen the study's theoretical framework and provide additional support for the findings.</p> <p>7. As the multiple regression is employed with 8 independent variables, a measure of Multicollinearity should be mentioned in the results.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Some grammatical errors and spelling mistakes are there (Like Valve instead of Value). A thorough grammar check should be done.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. Sumathy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bharathiar University, India</b>