



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The paper addresses a timely topic, but some issues should be addressed before the paper is accepted</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Abstract, the author should demonstrate the overall relevance of the topic, then proceed with the specific relevance for India. The gaps in theory and practice must be demonstrated, and the author must explain how the study aims to bridge those gaps. The methods must be presented clearly, then the major findings, and then the implications of the findings and potential contributions of the study to the literature. A brief and focused text is needed here, a couple of sentences per issue. 2. In the Introduction, the above pattern should be expanded and detailed. There should be an extensive discussion of gaps. The recommendation is to emphasize the overall relevance of the topic for a wider community of countries beyond India. 3. There should be a critical discussion of the parameters of models and variables while accepting proper metrics and rejecting improper ones. The author should critically discuss methods and demonstrate why those particular methods are proper ones for this study. Also, the author must refer to the limitations and disadvantages of the models and discuss them to ensure the reliability of the results. Overall, the contribution of the author to adjusting the models to the specific study is not certain. 4. The discussion component of the study is poor. The Discussion section is to demonstrate the novelty of the findings, the author should discuss the differences of the findings from those made by other scholars, as well as point out the similarities. Now, the contribution of the study to the literature is uncertain 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree, done 2. Agree, done 3. Agree, done 4. To the best of my knowledge, the discussion section has been written after reading many similar articles and on the almost similar pattern. Almost all the researcher found the similar type result (the model was found to be contradicting/invalid in their stock exchanges).