

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_113709
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF ANTIBIOTICS SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERNS AT SUB-INHIBITORY CONCENTRATIONS IN PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES, the article starting is good but ending is poor, the approach is not given, article design has to change. The conclusion should be specific, what you want to tell.</p> <p>In the title 'sub-inhibitory' is wrong, justify</p> <p>In the abstract, the ending 2-3 lines has to change</p> <p>The antibiotics tested for sensitivity is not appropriate, no figures has mentioned</p> <p>Changes , Justification required</p> <p>Add some more references –latest articles</p> <p>The article would have suggest the combination of antibiotics for susceptability</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Revise the article	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramakrishna Chintakunta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PES University, India</b>