

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114714
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Plasmid-Mediated qnr Genes among the Quinolone Resistant Salmonella typhi From Patients Attending University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Abuja, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is crucial for the scientific community. It provides key insights into antibiotic resistance mechanisms in typhoid fever, a major health concern in developing regions. Identifying PMQR genes helps in understanding resistance spread and supports developing more effective treatments and public health strategies against typhoid fever.</p> <p>2. The title of the article, "Detection of Plasmid-Mediated qnr Genes among Quinolone Resistant Salmonella typhi From Patients Attending University of Abuja Teaching Hospital Abuja Nigeria," is suitable as it clearly conveys the study's focus, methodology, and geographic context.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. manuscript's structure, including its subsections, aligns well with the conventional format of scientific research articles.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes , the references are recent according to study conducted time period</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language and English quality of the article appear to be generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript is well-written and clearly communicates its research findings is crucial for its acceptance in a scholarly journal and its ability to engage and inform its readers.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Farhad Badshah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Pakistan</b>