

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_114873
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical profiling of the rinds of commercial cultivars in Bangalore, Karnataka
Type of the Article	Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes. At present, it is an upsurge of interest in the valorization of agrowastes for food and nutraceutical applications in alignment with the principles of circular economy and the Sustainable development Goals of Agenda 2030. This study highlights the nutraceutical potential of six watermelon cultivars grown in Bangalore, their food and pharmaceutical valorization, the conservation of the best genetic heritage, and especially the achievement of food security.</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. In the Materials and Methods section, the authors should include "Statistical analysis".</p> <p>Yes, but the authors should consider the suggestions made in the manuscript document.</p> <p>Authors should improve the distribution of references to increase the number from the last ten years. By now, only the 44.8% belong to the period 2014-2023</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The revisor made some corrections in the manuscript. I recommend to integrate some duplicated information in the discussion, thus making a "friendlier" reading for readers.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I recommend the publication of the manuscript after its revision by the authors.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Humberto J. Morris</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Center for Studies on Industrial Biotechnology, Universidad de Oriente, Cuba</b>