

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_109338
Title of the Manuscript:	Roadblocks to Hybrid Wheat seed Production: An analysis of constraints
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Overall, the manuscript provides a clear and informative review of the challenges and potential of hybrid wheat seed production. It effectively outlines the constraints and offers valuable insights into the importance of addressing these issues for future advancements in this field. 2. The title of the manuscript is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The structure of manuscript is appropriate, however a subheading should be added for section 3.5 which describes the genetic composition of allohexaploid wheat. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. 7. Apart from above mentioned 6 points, authors may consider following corrections. I used line numbers options for providing comments. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Line 37: the sentence should be revised. ii. Line 131-132: The causes of genetic instability were already mentioned in line 95, this line is repeated. iii. The double spacing between letters should be corrected throughout the manuscript. iv. The format of references should be corrected. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vidisha Thakur
Department, University & Country	Banasthali Vidyapith, India