

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_114810
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on underwater image enhancement algorithm
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses challenges in underwater image processing, offering practical solutions for enhancing image quality.</p> <p>2. The title of the article, "Enhancement Techniques for Underwater Image Processing: A Comprehensive Review," is suitable as it accurately reflects the scope and focus of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article provides a comprehensive summary of the manuscript's content, effectively conveying the main points to potential readers.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, presenting well-researched algorithms and techniques widely accepted in the field.</p> <p>6. Recent references should be cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are appropriate for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Afef Houimli
Department, University & Country	University of Tunis El Manar, Higher Institute of Medical Technologies of Tunis, Tunisia