

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JEAI_114740
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of plant based isoproteinious diets on the growth performance of Labeo rohita and Catla catla fingerlings
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This text is important because it provides information about the use of vegetable ingredients to reduce the use of fish feed which is the main protein food ingredient for humans.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate to the object under study</p> <p>3. The abstract is complete because it contains objectives, methods, results and conclusions concisely</p> <p>4. There needs to be a short sentence before going into the subsection. for example, material method must have a short sentence before going into experimental design</p> <p>5. This manuscript meets scientific writing principles</p> <p>6.</p> <p>It is best to use journal references from the last ten years as much as 80%</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Very good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Perfection	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ikhsan Wamnebo
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Indonesia