Study of genetic variability and heritability in brinjal (*Solanummelongena* L.) genotypes.

Comment [WU1]: replace by Analysis

Abstract

The present investigation on "Study of genetic variability and heritability in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes" was carried out at the experimental field of Division of Vegetable Science, FOH, SKUAST, Kashmir Shalimar duringkharif season 2021 in Randomised Complete Block Design with three replications. The experimental material comprised of forty genotypes. Analysis of variance revealed that mean sum of squares due to genotypes for all the characters were highly significant, revealing significant variation among all the genotypes for all the characters under study.The phenotypic coefficients of variation were found to be higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficients of variation. The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) in present investigation were high for the traits; average fruit weight (43.69% and 43.69%), total anthocyanin content (39.10 % and 39.10%), ascorbic acid (31.73 % and 31.73) and dry matter (42.31% and 42.29).Heritability in broad sense was found to be high for all traits under study (greater than 70 per cent). Highest genetic advance as percentage of mean was recorded in average fruit weight (90) followed by dry matter (87.11) and total anthocyanin content (80.55).

Comment [WU2]: replace by Analysis

Comment [WU3]: replace by traits

Comment [WU4]: replace by experiment

Keywords: Genetic variability, heritability, GCV, PCV, brinjal

INTRODUCTION

A frequently cross-pollinated crop, Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.), is a member of the angiospermic family "Solanaceae," with cross pollination rates as high as 48% observed (Madhavi, 2015). Brinjal come in a wide variety of sizes, shapes, and colours, indicating that the Indian subcontinent is a significant hub for variation and perhaps origin. It is one of the most well-liked and adaptable vegetable crops in India, suitable for a variety of agro-climatic zones, and may be produced all year long from sea level to snowline.India is the second largest producer of Brinjal in the world next to ChinaThe annual global production of Brinjal is around 55.2 million metric tonnes, making it an important crop both economically and horticulturally. In India, the total area planted with Brinjal is 730,000 hectares, producing 12801,000 MT annually with an average productivity of 16.8 MT/hectare (NHB, 2018-2019).

While in Jammu and Kashmir, it covers 2.51 thousand hectares and produces 45.62 thousand metric tonnes annually (NHB, 2017 - 2018).

Brinjal is an important vegetable crop in our nation, and human society has had a social and economic link with it since ancient times. Despite their low yields and susceptibility to diverse pests and diseases, many indigenous cultivars are well-liked in many different places because of their desirable qualities. In terms of fruit size, shape, colour, growth behaviour, canopy bearing habit, yield, disease & insect-pest resistance, as well as quality and adaptation for different regions and for different growing seasons, there is significant genetic variety in Brinjal across the country.

The complete diversity present in a germplasm must be studied and divided into genetic, phenotypic, and environmental variability in order for selection and development programmes to be effective. A crucial prerequisite for genetic improvement in a crop is the availability of genetic diversity. This makes it possible for the breeder to implement an effective breeding plan. Therefore, unpredictability plays a crucial role in determining the quantity of advancement anticipated from selection. A crop's potential for improvement would be greater the more genetic diversity it possessed and keeping the land limitation in mind it is important to improve the yield of brinjal by developing high yielding varieties (Gazala *et al*. 2022)

Comment [WU5]: replace by existence

Materials and Methods

The experimental field of Division of Vegetable Science is located at the main campus, Shalimar, Srinagar 15 km away from Srinagar city on the foot hills of Mahadev. The altitude of the location is 1685 meter above mean sea level and situated 34^{o} N of latitude and 74.89^{o} E of longitude. The climate istemperate characterized by mild summers.Forty genotypes of Brinjal were evaluated with a spacing of 60x45cm in kharif season 2021 with design of experiement as Randomised Complete Block Design with three replications.

Comment [WU6]: void temperature is

Comment [WU7]:

Comment [WU8]: insert is

Comment [WU9]: please include testing materiasl name with their description features in a table form

The uniform, healthy seedlings were transplanted on ridges maintaining inter and intra row spacing of 60cm x 45cm, respectively. All the recommended package of practices for raising a healthy crop were followed. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants of each genotype in each replication for various traits viz;Days to first flowering, Days to first fruit set, Days to first fruit picking, Number of branches per plant, Plant height(cm), Plant

spread(cm), Fruit length (cm), Fruit diameter (cm), Number of fruits per plant, Average fruit weight (g), Fruit yield per plant (kg), Fruit yield per plot (kg), Fruit yield per hectare (q), Total soluble solids (°Brix), Total Anthocyanin content (mg/100g), Vitamin C (mg/100g), Phenols (mg/100g), Total sugars (%) and Dry matter (%).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance

The analysis of variance indicated highly significant differences among the genotypes due to genotypes for all traits *viz*.,Days to first flowering, Days to first fruit set, Days to first fruit picking, Number of branches per plant, Plant height(cm), Plant spread(cm), Fruit length (cm), Fruit diameter (cm), Number of fruits per plant, Average fruit weight (g), Fruit yield per plant (kg), Fruit yield per plot (kg), Fruit yield per hectare (q), Total soluble solids (°Brix), Total Anthocyanin content (mg/100g), Vitamin C (mg/100g), Phenols (mg/100g), Total sugars (%) and Dry matter (%). Same results were found by Hassan *et al*.(2015), Madhavi *et al.(*2015), Milli *et al*. (2014), Praveen *et al*. 2020 and Dhaka and Soni(2014), Kumar *et al*.(2014), Singh *et al*. (2013), Ansari *et al.* (2010), Gazala *et al.* (2019). It indicated sufficient variability existed for all these characters and which provide the potential for selection of suitable genotypes having desirable traits for further crop improvement as depicted in table 1a, 1b and 1c. However, analysis of variance by itself is not enough and conclusive to explain all the inherent genotypic variance in the genotype.

Comment [WU10]:

Mean performance

The mean performance of different genotypes and its component characters are presented in Table 2.

Comment [WU11]: tTo discuss mean performance of Genotypes ,genotype names has to be seen in the document

Days to first flowering

Days to first flowering varied from 40.53 to 50.52 days with average of 46.81 days. The minimum days to first flowering was recorded by SKAU-B-193 (40.53 days) followed by SKAU-B-241 (41.58 days) and IC-089888 (42.08 days). The maximum days to first flowering was recorded for SKAU-B-205 (50.52 days) followed by IC-090063(50.45 days) and SKAU-B-215 (50.25 days).

Days to first fruit set

Days to first fruit set varied from 47.36 to 59.2 days with average of 55.24 days. The minimum days to first fruit set were observed in SKAU-B-241 (47.36 days) followed by SKAU-B-222 (48.52 days) and IC-089818 (49.82 days). The maximum days to first fruit set were recorded in SKAU-B-245(59.2 days) followed by SKAU-B-215 (58.91 days) and SKAU-B-259 (58.73 days).

Days to first fruit picking

Days to first fruit picking varied from 55.33 to 68.46 days with average of 64.30 days Data on average number of days taken to first fruit picking showed that minimum number of days to first fruit picking were recorded in SKAU-B-241 (55.33 days) followed by SKAU-B-193 (58.46 days) and SKAU-B-231 (58.5 days) and the maximum days to first fruit picking were recorded in SKAU-B-226 (68.46 days) followed by SKAU-B-263 (68.33 days) and SKAU-B-245 (68.3 days).

Number branches per plant

Number of branches per plant varied from 8.81 to 14.86 with average of 12.43 branches. The highest number of branches per plantwere noted in SKAU-B-209 (14.86) followed by SKAU-B-197 (14.76) and IC-261801(14.2). Whereas, the lowest number of branches per plantwere noted in SKAU-B-234 (8.81) followed by IC-090062(9.26) and IC-074244 (9.31).

Plant height (cm)

Plant height (cm) varied from 44.3 to 103.54 cms with average of 77.78 cmsThe maximum plant height (cm) was observed in SKAU-B-276 (103.54 cm) followed by SKAU-B-274(100.47cm) and IC-099712 (94.33 cm). The minimum plant height (cm) was observed in IC-354867 (44.3 cm) followed by SKAU-B-193 (51.45 cm) and SKAU-B-263 (54.44 cm).

Plant spread (cm)

Plant spread (cm) varied from 38.45 to 74.52 cms with average of 57.20 cmsThe maximum plant spread (cm) was observed in IC-11010 (74.52 cm) followed by IC-074207 (70.53 cm) and SKAU-B-294 (69.41 cm). The minimum plant spread (cm) was observed in SKAU-B-197 (38.45 cm) followed by SKAU-B-209 (42.46 cm) and IC-354867 (44.62 cm).

Fruit length(cm)

Fruit length(cm) varied from 7.27 to 18.96 cm with average of 14.13 cm Highest fruit length of (18.96 cm) was observed in SKAU-B-274 followed by SKAU-B-249 (18.83 cm)

and SKAU-B-259 (18.82 cm). While minimum fruit length was observed in SKAU-B-241 (7.27 cm) followed by SKAU-B-193 (8.08 cm) and SKAU-B-215 (8.07 cm).

Fruit diameter (cm)

Fruit diameter (cm) varied from 3.15 to 4.66 cm with average of 4.05 cm Highest fruit diameter of (4.66 cm) was observed in SKAU-B-276 followed by IC-111010 (4.62 cm) and IC-089818 (4.52 cm). While minimum fruit diameter was observed in SKAU-B-251 (3.15 cm) followed by SKAU-B-197 (3.16 cm) and SKAU-B-229 (3.23 cm).

Number of fruits per plant

Number of fruits per plant varied from 7.26 to 19.82 with average of 10.27 fruits. The highest Number of fruits per plant were noted in SKAU-B-226 (19.83) followed by SKAU-B-224 (19.56) and SKAU-B-268 (15.52). Whereas, the lowest Number of fruits per plant were noted in SKAU-B-257 (7.26) followed by SKAU-B-211 (7.45) and SKAU-B-219 (7.45).

Average fruit weight (g)

The maximum value for average fruit weight (g) was recorded for SKAU-B-231 (307.36 g) followed by SKAU-B-274 (282.56 g) and SKAU-B-279 (274.36 g). While minimum value was recorded in SKAU-B-211 (49.44 g) followed by SKAU-B-247 (52.24 g) and SKAU-B-197 (60.33 g). The average fruit weight for all the genotypes was 159.63 g with a range of 49.44 to 307.36

Fruit yield per plant (kg)

The genotype SKAU-B-276 (1.14 kg) recorded the highestfruit yield per plantfollowed by SKAU-B-268 (1.1 kg) and SKAU-B-279 (1.1 kg). While as, SKAU-B-193 (0.38 kg) recorded the lowestfruit yield per plant followed by SKAU-B-257 (0.56 kg) and IC-090062 (0.57 kg). The average fruit yield per plant for all the genotypes was 0.90 kg with a range of 0.38 to 1.14

Fruit yield per plot (kg)

The genotype SKAU-B-276 (87.01 kg) recorded the highestfruit yield per plotfollowed by SKAU-B-268 (83.97 kg) and SKAU-B-279 (83.97 kg). While as, SKAU-B-193 (29.01 kg) recorded the lowestfruit yield per plot followed by SKAU-B-257 (42.89 kg)

and IC-090062 (43.49 kg). The average fruit yield per plot for all the genotypes was 69.06 kg with a range of 29.01 to 87.01

Fruit yield per hectare (q)

The highest fruit yield per hectare(q) was recorded in SKAU-B-276 (422.76 q) followed by SKAU-B-268 (407.4 q) and SKAU-B-279 (407.4 q). Whereas, the lowest fruit yield per hectare(q) was recorded in SKAU-B-193 (140.7 q) followed by SKAU-B-257 (208.43 q) and SKAU-B-209 (211.1 q). The average fruit yield per hectarefor all the genotypes was 334.54 q with a range of 140.7 to 422.76

TSS content (˚Brix)

Highest TSS was recorded in SKAU-B-205 (5.96˚Brix) followed by SKAU-B-222 (4.9˚Brix) and SKAU-B-247 (4.6˚Brix). Lowest TSS was recorded in SKAU-B-259 (2.6˚Brix) followed by SKAU-B-257 (2.9˚Brix) and SKAU-B-274 (3˚Brix). The average TSS for all the genotypes was 3.93˚Brix with a range of 2.6 to 5.96

Total anthocyanin (mg/100g)

Highestanthocyanin (mg/100g)content was recorded in SKAU-B-255 (7.8 mg/100g) followed by SKAU-B-263 (7.6 mg/100g) and SKAU-B-226 (7.4 mg/100g). Whereas the lowest anthocyanin (mg/100g) content was recorded in IC-354867 (0.21 mg/100g) followed by SKAU-B-257 (0.26 mg/100g) and IC-089818 (2.13 mg/100g). The averageanthocyanin (mg/100g) content for all the genotypes was 4.65 mg/100g with a range of 0.21 to 7.8

Vitamin C (mg/100g)

Highest Vitamin C was recorded in IC-111010 (10.33 mg/100g) followed by IC-090062 (10.23 mg/100g) and IC-089818 (10 mg/100g). While as, the lowest Vitamin C was recorded in IC-074244 (2.30 mg/100g) followed by SKAU-B-268 (2.62 mg/100g) and SKAU-B-274 (3.21mg/100g). The average Vitamin C for all the genotypes was 7.46 mg/100g with a range of 2.30to 10.33

Phenols (mg/100g)

Highest phenol (mg/100g**)** content was recorded in SKAU-B-266 (114.1 mg/100g) followed by IC-090063 (113.1 mg/100g) and SKAU-B-209 (113.1 mg/100g). While as, IC-11010(77.1 mg/100g) recorded the lowest phenol (mg/100g**)** content followed by SKAU-B-

249 (78.1 mg/100g) and SKAU-B-215 (79.1 mg/100g). The average phenol (mg/100g**)** content for all the genotypes were 95.24 (mg/100g) with a range of 77.1 to 114.1

Total sugars (%)

The highesttotal sugars (%)was observed in SKAU-B-234 (3.62%) followed by IC-089888 (3.52%) and SKAU-B-239 (3.52%). Whereas, the lowest total sugars (%) was observed in IC-354867 (2.08%) followed by IC-11010 (2.08%) and SKAU-B-209 (2.10%). The average total sugars (%) for all the genotypes was 2.65 with a range of 2.08 to 3.62

Dry matter (%)

Highestdry matter (%)was recorded in IC-089888 (10.2%) followed by IC-354867 (10.2%) and SKAU-B-279 (10.1%) and the lowestdry matter (%)was recorded in SKAU-B-205(1.4%) followed by SKAU-B-209 (1.6%) and SKAU-B-224 (1.8%). The average dry matter (%)for all the genotypes was 5.60 with a range of 1.4 to 10.2.

Genetic parameters of variability

The degree of variety present in crop plants, which is one of the most crucial selection criteria in the breeding programme, heavily influences the breeding program's success. A number of metrics, including GCV, PCV, h^2, genetic progress and GA%, were used to estimate variance. Environment played a critical effect in how a characteristic appeared phenotypically. The genotypic (heritable) and environmental (non-heritable) components of phenotypic variability are combined. In current study various genetical parameters like GCV, PCV heritability in broad sense and GA has been explained and is depicted in Table 2 . The results of the finding have been discussed as below;

For both quantitative and qualitative qualities, the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic counterpart (GCV), indicating that the influence of environment is also a factor in the apparent variance in addition to genotype. 2007;Ukkund*et al*.2007 The magnitude of the coefficients of variability varies from character to character, being either low, moderate, or high. In our situation, the levels of variability across all variables were low (15%), moderate (15–30%) and high (> 30%), indicating a higher degree of diversity in the sample employed in the study. High phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) indicates the existence of greater scope for selection of the trait under consideration, which is dependent on the amount of variability present, while high genetic coefficient of variation (GCV) indicates the presence of high genetic variability for the traits which may

Comment [WU12]: replace by variability

Comment [WU13]: delete it

Comment [WU14]: insert (2007)

facilitate selection. As can be perused from Table 2, the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in our present investigation were high for the traits; average fruit weight(43.69%), total anthocyanin content (39.10 %), ascorbic acid (31.73 %) and dry matter (42.31%). Moderate phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was recorded for plant height (17.40 %), fruit length (26.45 %), number of fruits per plant (28.29%), fruit yield per plant (21%), fruit yield per plot (21.02%), fruit yield per hectare (20.98%), total soluble solids (15.23 %) and total sugars (17.06 %) whereas, it was low for days to first flowering (6.42 %), days to first fruit set (5.90 %). days to first fruit picking (5.42 %), number of branches per plant (14.50%), plant spread (13.80%), fruit diameter (11.97%) and phenols (13.53%)

Similarly, the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was high for average fruit weight (43.69%), total anthocyanin content (39.10 %), ascorbic acid (31.73 %) and dry matter (42.29%), moderate for plant height (17.39 %), fruit length (26.23 %), number of fruits per plant (28.15%), fruit yield per plant (20.94%), fruit yield per plot (21.96%), fruit yield per hectare (20.94%), total soluble solids (15.04 %) and total sugars (16.67 %) and low in case of days to first flowering (6.16 %), days to first fruit set (5.70%). days to first fruit picking (5.03%), number of branches per plant (12.29%), plant spread (13.79%), fruit diameter (11.85%) and phenols (13.35%)

The presence of high GCV for the traits as above indicates that there is considerable genetic variation present in these traits to warrant selection for better eggplant genotypes. In the earlier studies also, the high estimates of phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation have been observed for different characters by Sujjin*et al.* (2017), Arti and Sharma (2018), Balas *et al.* (2019), Bende *et al.* (2019), Dasmohapatra*et al*. (2019) and Jirankali*et al.* (2019) while moderate PCV and GCV were observed by Akpan *et al*. (2016), Sujin *et al.* (2017), Patel *et al*. (2017), Ravali *et al.* (2017) and Tirkey *et al*. (2018) Low PCV and GCV obtained for different characters were supported by the findings of Vidya and Kumar (2015), Mangi *et al*. (2016), Verma *et al*. (2018), Jirankali *et al*. (2019) and Bende *et al*. (2019)

Heritability

Heritability, which is important in evaluating the expression of phenotype connected to the genetic contribution of the trait, has a major impact on how effective a given breeding strategy is for different traits. According to Johansan*et al.* (1955), the genetic advance and heritability values helped predict the anticipated advancement through selection. The

estimates of heritability (broad sense) were found to be high for all the characters studied *viz*. Days to first flowering (91%), Days to first fruit set(93%), Days to first fruit picking(86%), Number of branches per plant(71%), Plant height(99%), Plant spread(99%), Fruit length (98%), Fruit diameter (98%), Number of fruits per plant(99%), Average fruit weight (98%), Fruit yield per plant (99%), Fruit yield per plot (99%), Fruit yield per hectare (99%), Total soluble solids (97%), Total Anthocyanin content (99%), Vitamin C (99%), Phenols (98%), Total sugars (95%) and Dry matter (99%). High heritability for different traits indicated that large proportion of phenotypic variance was attributed to genotypic variance and therefore, reliable selection could be made for these traits on the basis of phenotypic expression. Corroborating with the result of present investigation, Mohanty (2002) estimated heritability in broad sense and it ranged from 56.8 per cent for plant height to 92.9 per cent for number of fruits per plant and illustrated that due to such a high values of heritability these traits were least influenced by environmental modification. Similarly, Shekar *et al.* (2012) also reported high heritability in fruit length (99.00 %), fruit diameter (97.00 %), day to first flowering (97.00 %), days to first picking (97.00 %), number of fruits per plant (89.00 %), fruit yield per plant (83.00 %), fruit yield per plot (83.00 %), fruit yield per hectare (83.00 %) and number of branches per plant (81.00 %). Akpan *et al*. (2016) reported high broad sense heritability estimates for fruit circumference (98.37 %), fruit diameter(97.44 %), number of fruits per plant (83.27 %) in brinjal grown in early season, while the late season planting experiment showed that fruit yield per hectare (98.08 %), number of fruits per plant (99.48 %), fruit circumference (99.16 %) and fruit diameter (98.50 %) were among traits with high broad sense heritability.

Genetic advance and genetic gain

An inquisition of data in Table 2 revealed that genetic gain (expressed as per cent of population mean) was low to high in nature and ranged from 9.64 to 90 per cent for different characters under study. It was found high for the traits *viz*. Number of branches per plant(21.47%), Plant height(35.83%), Plant spread(28.41%), Fruit length (53.58%), Fruit diameter (24.19%), Number of fruits per plant(57.71%), Average fruit weight (90%), Fruit yield per plant (43.07%), Fruit yield per plot (43.07%), Fruit yield per hectare (43.07%), Total soluble solids (30.63%), Total Anthocyanin content (80.55%), Vitamin C (65.35%), Phenols (27.50%), Total sugars (33.56%) and Dry matter (87.11%). Moderate genetic gain was observed for days to first flowering (12.16 %) and days to first fruit set (11.34 %) while, low genetic gain was observed for days to first fruit picking (9.64 %)

A reliable selection could be made for these qualities based on phenotypic expression since high heritabilities for various traits suggested that a significant amount of phenotypic variation was related to genotypic variance. High heritability (> 60 %) coupled with high genetic advance as per cent over mean (> 20 %) were observed for Number of branches per plant, Plant height, Plant spread, Fruit length, Fruit diameter, Number of fruits per plant, Average fruit weight, Fruit yield per plant, Fruit yield per plot, Fruit yield per hectare, Total soluble solids, Total Anthocyanin content, Vitamin C, Phenols, Total sugars and Dry matter and the results were in accordance with Verma *et al.* (2018), Dasmohapatra*et al.* (2019), Balas *et al*. (2019), Jirankali*et al.(*2019) and Bende *et al*. (2019). This indicates the predominance of additive gene component. Thus, there is scope for improving these characters with direct selection.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the present investigation it could be concluded that sufficient amount of genetic variation existed in the present set of material and the genotypes could be used in future breeding programmes for bringing about improvement in the crop.Analysis of variance indicated that mean sum of squares due to genotypes were significant for all the characters indicating the presence of sufficient amount of variability in the genotypes.The phenotypic coefficient of variation was in general higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation for all the characters, indicating that the influence of environment is also a factor in the apparent variance in addition to genotype. High heritability coupled with high genetic gain was observed for almost all traits under study indicating that these features are controlled by additive gene action and that direct selection for these traits could result in a significant improvement in the ability to recognise superior genotypes of brinjal.

Table 1a: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with respect to MSS for growth, maturity, yield attributing and quality

Comment [WU15]: delete it

Mean Sum of Squares

S. No.	Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Days to first flowering	Days to first fruit set	Days to first fruit picking	Number branches per plant	Plant height(cm)	Plant spread(cm)	Fruit length(cm)
1	Replication	2	12.47	15.98	20.00	3.47	42.21	36.69	6.27
2	Genotypes	39	25.69**	30.48**	33.20**	7.93**	549.58**	186.93**	41.47**
3	Error	78	0.73	0.72	1.66	0.91	0.09	0.03	0.23

*Significant at 5% probability level

**Significant at 1% probability level

Table-1b: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with respect to MSS for growth characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

Comment [WU16]: delete it

			Mean Sum of Squares					
S. No.	**Source of variation**	**Degrees of freedom**	**Fruit diameter (cm)**	**Number of fruits per plant**	**Average fruit weight(g)**	**Fruit yield per plant (kg)**	**Fruit yield per plot (kg)**	**Fruit yield per hectare (q)**
1	Replication	2	0.05	17.53	50.99	0.002	15.24	263.01
2	Genotypes	39	0.69**	25.21**	14104.98**	0.10**	630.30**	14752.67**
3	Error	78	0.004	0.08	0.11	0.0001	1.13	17.64

*Significant at 5% probability level

**Significant at 1% probability level

Table 1c: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with respect to MSS for quality traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

Comment [WU17]: delete it

			Mean Sum of Squares					
S. No.	**Source of variation**	**Degrees of freedom**	**Total soluble solids(°Brix)**	**Total anthocyanin (mg/100g)**	**Ascorbic acid(mg/100g)**	**Phenols(mg/100g)**	**Total sugars(%)**	**Dry matter(%)**
1	Replication	2	0.50	0.42	0.42	0.34	0.12	0.22
2	Genotypes	39	1.06**	9.95**	16.89**	485.34**	0.59**	16.86**
3	Error	78	0.008	0.0002	0.002	0.001	0.009	0.003

*Significant at 5% probability level

**Significant at 1% probability level

Table 2:Estimates of mean, range, phenotypic variance, genotypic variance, phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, heritability (bs) and genetic advance (as % of mean) for growth, maturity, yield attributing and quality characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena*L

S. No.	Parameters	Mean	Range	Phenotypic variance (PV)	Genotypic variance (GV)	Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV)	Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV)	Heritability (bs)	Genetic advance (as % of mean)
1	Days to first flowering	46.81	40.53-50.52	9.05	8.31	6.42	6.16	0.91	12.16
2	Days to first fruit set	55.24	47.36-59.2	10.64	9.92	5.90	5.70	0.93	11.34
3	Days to first fruit picking	64.30	55.33-68.46	12.18	10.51	5.42	5.03	0.86	9.64
4	No. of branches per plant	12.43	8.81-14.86	3.25	2.33	14.50	12.29	0.71	21.47
5	Plant height (cm)	77.78	44.3-103.54	183.25	183.16	17.40	17.39	0.99	35.83
6	Plant spread (cm)	57.20	38.45-74.52	62.33	62.29	13.80	13.79	0.99	28.41
7	Fruit length(cm)	14.13	7.27-18.96	13.98	13.74	26.45	26.23	0.98	53.58
8	Fruit diameter (cm)	4.05	3.15-4.66	0.23	0.23	11.97	11.85	0.98	24.19
9	No. of fruits per plant	10.27	7.26-19.83	8.45	8.37	28.29	28.15	0.99	57.71
10	Average fruit weight (g)	156.93	49.44-307.36	4701.73	4701.62	43.69	43.69	0.98	90.00
11	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	0.90	0.38-1.14	0.036	0.035	21.00	20.94	0.99	43.07
12	Fruit yield per plot (kg)	69.06	29.01-87.01	210.85	209.72	21.02	20.96	0.99	43.07
13	Fruit yield ha^{-1}(q)	334.54	140.7-422.76	4929.32	4911.67	20.98	20.94	0.99	43.07
14	TSS content (˚Brix)	3.93	2.6-5.96	0.35	0.35	15.23	15.04	0.97	30.63
15	Total anthocyanin content (mg/100g)	4.65	0.21-7.8	3.32	3.31	39.10	39.10	0.99	80.55
16	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)	7.46	2.30-10.33	5.63	5.63	31.73	31.73	0.99	65.35
17	Phenols (mg/100g)	95.24	77.1-114.1	161.78	161.78	13.53	13.35	0.98	27.50
18	Total sugars (%)	2.65	2.08-3.62	0.20	0.19	17.06	16.67	0.95	33.56
19	Dry matter (%)	5.60	1.4-10.3	5.62	5.61	42.31	42.29	0.99	87.11

Refrences

Akpan, N. M., Ogbonna, P. E., Onyia, V. N., Okechukwu, E. C. and Atugwu, I. A. 2016, Variability studies on ten genotypes of egg plant for growth and yield performance in south eastern Nigeria. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences***26**(4): 1034-1041

Anonymous, 2018. National Horticulture Board. (www.nhb.gov.in).

Ansari, S. F. 2010. Genetic analysis for earliness and heat tolerance along with yield attributing traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.), Ph. D thesis submitted to Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Raipur

Arti, D. and Sharma, A. K. 2018. Genetic variability studies for yield and quality parameters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L). *Journal of Pharmacogen and Phytochemistry***7**(5);2494-2496.

Balas, A., Jivani, L. L., Valu, M. G., Sakriya, S. G., Gamit, U. C., Rathod and R. K., 2019. Study of genetic variability and heritability in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal***8**(9): 44-46.

Bende, T. S., Bagade, A. B., Deshmukh, J. D. and Shinde, A. V., 2019, Variability studies for yield and yield components in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies***7**(2): 56-58

Dashohapatra, A. and Sharma, D., 2019, Mean performance and variability analysis in long fruited brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) for Chattisgarh. *Bulletin of Environment Pharmacology and Life Sciences***8**(2): 28-31.

Dhaka, S. and Soni, A. 2014. Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation study in brinjal genotypes. *Annual Plant Soil Research***16**: 53-56.

Gazala Nazir, N Jabeen, M. Chatto, K Hussain, S Berges 2019. Variability and correlation studies for yield and quality traits in brinjal. *SKUAST Journal of Research***21**(2): 215-217

GazalaNazir, KhursheedHussain, Syed Berjis, U.H.Masoodi, SaimaTabasum 2022. A study on correlation and path coefficient analysis of brinjal for yield and yield contributing traits. *International Journal of Plant Science***34**(21): 763-768.

Hassan, I., Jatoi, S., Arif, M. and Siddiqui, S. 2015. Genetic variability in eggplant for agro-morphological traits. *Science Techonology and Development Journal***34**(1): 35-40. 66

Jirankali, J. P., Reddy, N., Gangaprasad, S. and Manohara and S. N. 2019, Genetic variability for quantitative and qualitative charactersinbrinjal (*Solanummelongena* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences***8**(3): 476-484.

Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental viability in soya bean. *Agronomy Journal***47**: 314-318

Kumar, B. A., Kumar, S. V. and Prakash, J. C., 2014, Genetic variability and divergence studies for morpho-economic characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Science***10**(2): 529-533

Madhavi, N., Mishra, A. C., Pushpavathly and Kumari. V. L. P. 2015, Genetic diversity in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Under temperate hills of uttarakhand, India. *Plant Archives***15**: 1107-1110.

Mangi, V., Patil, H. B., karadi, S. M., Sanganamoni, M. and Jogi, M. 2016. Genetic diversity in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes. *Research Environmental Life Science***9**: 940-942.

Mili, C., Bora, G. C., Das, B. and Paul, S. K. 2014, Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes. *Direct Research Journal of Agriculture and Food Science* **2**(11): 192-194.

Mohanty, B. K., and Prusti, A. M. 2002. Variability and selection parameters for economic characters in brinjal. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture***30**: 1-4.

Patel, V. K., Singh, U., Goswami, A., Tiwari, S. K. and Singh, M. 2017. Genetic variability, interrelationship and path analysis for yield attributes in eggplant. *Environment and Ecology***35**: 877-880

Praveen, Parasappa and Yankanch 2020. Genetic diversity and correlation studies in brinjal

(*Solanum melongena* L.) germplasm. Thesis submitted to the University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga

Ravali, B., Reddy, K. R., Saidaiah, P. and Shivraj, N. 2017. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sceince***6**: 42-47.

Shekar, K., Ashok, P. and Sasikala, K. 2012. Studies on Heritability and Multivariate Analyses in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin***76**: 79-88

Singh, O., Singh, B., Singh, K. V., Chand, P. and Vaishali, 2013, Studies on genetic variability in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Annals of Horticulture* **6**(2): 279-283.

Sujin, G. S., Karuppaiah, P. and Manivannan, K. 2017. Genetic variability and correlation studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *International journal of Plant Sciences***12**: 21-17.

Tirkey, M., Saravana, S. and Pushpa, L. 2018. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its attributes in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry***1**: 1181-1183.

Ukkund, K. C., Madalageri, M. B., Patil, M. P., Mulage, R. and Kotikal, Y. K. 2007. Variability studies in green chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* **20**: 102-104.

Verma, P., Kushwaha, M. L. and Panchbhaiya, A. 2018. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield attributing traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) for Two Different Seasons. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences***7**(9): 1543-1552.

Vidhya, C. and Kumar, N. 2014. Genetic divergence in brinjal. *The Ecoscan* 6: 197-200