

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113142
Title of the Manuscript:	Two Decades of Expanding Acreage of Coconut in Tamil Nadu – An Analysis in Food Security Perspective
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, because it deals with food security.</p> <p>2. The title deals with an overview of two decades of expanding acreage of coconut.. it is okay.</p> <p>3. The abstract is quiet empty. It have not capture the summary of the findings. Which will address in brief the main objective of the study, specific objectives, mothedology, results of major findings, conclusion and recommendation.</p> <p>4. The sub headings are not appropriate. After introduction, is methodology, then result/ presentation of findings. Conclusion always comes with recommendations.</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the manuscript is okay.</p> <p>6. The references are within the accepted ranges. But some of the references cited were not listed in the reference list. For example Anon 2020, Anon 2019, CDB 2021.</p> <p>7. The author should go through the work and make all necessary corrections.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The quality of English language used is okay	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is scientifically okay and it looks at overview of coconut analysis of 2 decades.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rejoice James Mshelizah
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria