

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_114922
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Evaluation of Predictive Models for Coconut Crop Production in Karnataka Using Weather Parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript addresses a research gap in coconut agriculture, employs rigorous methodological approach and provides practical insight for stakeholder and policymakers.</p> <p>It accurately reflects the scope and focus of study.</p> <p>Yes abstract provides comprehensive overview but providing more details and context could strengthen its impact and clarity.</p> <p>The sub-section appears appropriate. However, minor adjustments may enhance clarity and flow, such as ensuring consistency in sub-heading formatting and possibly incorporating sub sections within the results and discussion section for better organisation of findings.</p> <p>It is advisable to supplement a few more reference with more recent literature to enhance the relevance and robustness. Also, quoting the reference soon after the statements in introduction, material and methods as per the international standards is advisable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It appears suitable for scholarly communication. However, a lot of grammatical errors and rephrasing of statements is advised in the manuscript which could enhance clarity or readability. Overall, the language used is appropriate for conveying scientific information effectively to the intended audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript should be revised as per the mistakes highlighted and suggestions advised compulsorily to attain the journal standards. Kindly do not copy paste the material from other discipline papers which hampers the integrity of the author. Also, add a Acknowledgement section to acknowledge any government source of information compulsorily to avoid conflict of interest.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahbaz Noori
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India