

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_114566
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Academic Advising at the University of Ghana and Ohio University
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>YES. Overall, the manuscript appears to offer insights and recommendations that can contribute to the ongoing dialogue within the scientific community regarding the effectiveness of academic advising in higher education. Researchers, educators, and institutional leaders may find value in the comparative analysis and practical suggestions provided, making the manuscript potentially important for the scientific community.</p> <p>Overall, the title is concise, informative, and accurately reflects the content of the research.</p> <p>Overall, the abstract provides a well-structured and informative summary of the research, making it comprehensive and accessible to readers who want to grasp the main aspects of the study quickly. BUT the format An abstract should be typed as a single paragraph in a block format</p> <p>1. INTRODUCTION The introduction is well-structured and provides a thorough understanding of the importance of academic advising in higher education. The inclusion of relevant references and the presentation of Table 1 with previous studies enhance the academic rigor of the introduction. Overall, it effectively captures the reader's attention and sets the foundation for the rest of the manuscript. Great job! (Refer manuscript for minor correction)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The format table use better format and size <p>2. METHODOLOGY</p> <p>Overall, the methodology is well-structured and provides a solid foundation for the study. The suggested enhancements aim to add clarity and depth to certain aspects of the methodology, improving the reader's understanding of the research design and implementation. (REFER MANUSCRIPT FOR MINOR CORRECTION)</p> <p>Ensure consistency in tense throughout the methodology section.</p> <p>Check for minor grammatical errors and typos.</p> <p>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>Overall:</p> <p>Comment: The results and discussion section provides valuable insights into the</p>	

Review Form 1.7

<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide</u></p>	<p>participants' experiences with academic advising.</p> <p>Suggestion: Consider integrating direct quotes or anecdotes from participants to add a more personal touch and enhance the readers' connection to the participants' experiences. (REFER MANUSCRIPT FOR MINOR CORRECTION)</p> <p>4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS COMMENT:</p> <p>4.1 CONCLUSIONS:</p> <p>Comment: the conclusion provides a clear summary of the findings, emphasizing the variation in advising practices between the two universities.</p> <p>suggestion: consider briefly revisiting key points or themes discussed in the results and discussion section to reinforce the main findings.</p> <p>4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE STUDY PARTICIPANTS:</p> <p>Comment: participants' recommendations offer practical insights into improving advising services.</p> <p>Suggestion: consider incorporating specific examples or case studies to illustrate the potential impact of the proposed recommendations.</p> <p>4.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE INSTITUTIONS IN THE TWO COUNTRIES:</p> <p>Comment: the recommendations for both the university of ghana and ohio university are well-articulated.</p> <p>Suggestion: provide a brief rationale for each recommendation, explaining how it addresses the identified challenges or enhances the advising experience.</p> <p>General comments:</p> <p>Clarity: the section is generally clear and concise.</p> <p>Detail: consider providing more details on the suggested improvements and the potential challenges in implementing these recommendations.</p> <p>Consistency: ensure consistent use of verb tenses and maintain a formal tone throughout the conclusion and recommendations.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript demonstrates a scientifically sound approach, combining theoretical frameworks with empirical data to explore the status of academic advising in higher education institutions.</p> <p>Consider adding a few more recent sources (published within the last 2-3 years) if available. This can demonstrate that you are aware of the latest developments in the field. Double-check the specific requirements or preferences of the journal or academic institution you are submitting to regarding the recency of references.</p>	
---	---	--

Review Form 1.7

additional suggestions/comments)	Formatting: Ensure that the references are consistently formatted according to the citation style you are using (e.g., APA, MLA).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear, and the author effectively conveys information. However, there are a few instances where minor adjustments could enhance the clarity and formality of the language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ts Muhamad Azlin Bin Ismail
Department, University & Country	Bagan Datuk Community College, Malaysia