

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114187
Title of the Manuscript:	TOURISM DESTINATION: THE ROLE OF BEACH TOURISM EXPERIENCE AFFECT GREEN CONSUMPTION ON PARANGTRITIS BEACH YOGYAKARTA
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes the topic is significant for growth of an economy</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. No. This article lacks rigor.</p> <p>6. No. The reference of this study is neither sufficient nor recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is Acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Good Statistical work.</p> <p>2. Poor literature review- More rigorous review is recommended.</p> <p>3. Model deployment- The deployed model lacks theoretical understanding or evidence. There is lack of rigor and justification. Though there few and insignificant amount of literature anchored for the assumptions, no theoretical support were given for the proposed hypothesis H1A-H1D.</p> <p>4. Lack of discussion- The validity of the findings is not discussed. This study lacks nomological validity.</p> <p>5. Research gap- Kindly mention which research gap or research problem you have filled or solved. Also, while mentioning remember to provide theoretical support or evidence.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyo Das
Department, University & Country	JIS University, India