

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_113398
Title of the Manuscript:	A Proposed Costing System in Response to the Considerations of Continuous Improvement in the Just in Time Intelligent Supply Chain and the Agile system through the Use of Back-flush Costing and the Throughput Accounting
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The study developed is relevant, and so for the empirical study</p> <p>The title is too long and requires formulation</p> <p>The phrases' architecture is inadequate and requires a deep review; too long sentences prevent deep understanding of author ideas. For formulating mathematic equations you should use appropriate app (for instance latex).</p> <p>For the sequence is correct and fit with the key aspects of this present research</p> <p>Scientifically it respect the stages required</p> <p>It is worth including newest references and avoiding old references for key concepts' definitions unless you add newest ones</p>	<p>The topic is relevant, the empirical part sequences are correct scientifically but the literature review requires adjustment and the same hold is true for the writing style.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript writing style requires a deep review and organization. Make clear your sentences and remove unnecessary elaboration, try to ensure direct expression of your ideas</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is relevant but authors' ideas are not supported by the language used</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najat Toufah
Department, University & Country	Hassan II University, Morocco