

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114959
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGICAL, PEDAGOGICAL, AND CONTENT KNOWLEDGE OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS IN SELECTED PRIVATE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
--	---------------------------	---

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is. The manuscript is very crucial for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes. There is a need for a new title: Exploring the Proficiency of Physical Education Teachers in Selected Private Junior High Schools within a Highly Urbanized City in the Philippines: An Analysis of Technological, Pedagogical, and Content Knowledge</p> <p>The abstract is not well written. It should a summary of all the study without any subtitle.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, qualitative and scholarly enough.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is a good paper, except a few grammatical errors particularly that of concord.</p> <p>It is a publishable study.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract is just one paragraph that summarises the whole work without outlining the aims, study design, methodology, result, conclusion, etc. You do not need to highlight all these in the abstract. 2. Notes: The verb should be written as [note] because the subject is in plural form. 3. There is a need for apostrophe after student to be followed by “s” as in: [student’s motivation]. 4. Add “s” to student: [students] 5. Remove the word, “likely” 6. The sentence should be “while Petalla [32] reveals...” This is because the subject, “Petalla” is a singular noun; the verb therefore, must be singular, “reveals”. 7. It should be non-correlation 8. Add which between studies and may as in: The study suggests specialized training and postgraduate studies which may contribute to technological proficiency... 9. As 7 above 10. The verb should be in plural as in: Kini and Podolsky [43] [emphasize] the role of teaching experience in shaping teaching effectiveness... 11. As in 7 12. As in 7 <p>Add “by” as in: future studies are encouraged by adopting...</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martins Segun Jayeoba
Department, University & Country	Nigeria